THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.1546 OF 2005

Date: 05-09-2005

Between:

Mrs Maha Laxmi …Appellant

And

The Joint Collector, Ranga Reddy District

And others …Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI BILAL NAZKI, THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.1546 OF 2005

J U D G M E N T(Per the Hon'ble Sri Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The case of the writ petitioner in the writ petition was that certain orders were passed by the Revenue authorities, which were against him. His name was also ordered to

be deleted from the pattedar column in the revenue records. Aggrieved by these orders, he filed the writ petition. But, the writ petition was dismissed presumably on the ground that the writ petitioner has not sought leave to file the writ petition. This order was passed at the first hearing of the writ petition without notice to the other side. We do not think that any leave was required to be sought by the petitioner in filing the writ petition. If he was aggrieved of the orders passed, he could have come to the Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, without any application for leave to file the writ petition. In this view of the matter, we set aside the order passed by the learned Single Judge. The matter be placed before the learned Single Judge, who may pass orders afresh on the merits of the case.

The writ appeal is accordingly allowed. No order as to costs.

_____

(Bilal Nazki, ACJ)

5th September, 2005

_____

(R.Subhash Reddy,J)

vrn